



STATE OF ARIZONA

## **Structural Pest Control Commission**

**Janet Napolitano**  
Governor

**Lisa Gervase**  
Executive Director

9535 E. Doubletree Ranch Road  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85258-5514  
(602) 255-3664 - (602) 255-1281 fax  
<http://www.sb.state.az.us>

### **NOTICE OF COMMISSION MEETING FRIDAY, June 8, 2007, 9:00 A.M.**

**Structural Pest Control Commission  
9535 E. Doubletree Ranch Road  
Scottsdale, AZ 85258**

#### **FIRST AMENDED AGENDA**

#### **VII.A. Complaints – Correction from the name “James Valier” to David Doyle”**

##### **A. TPC of Scottsdale (BL), Shane Keranen (APP), David Doyle (unlicensed applicator) – Case No. 2006-055**

This complaint contains allegations that from 1-05 to 10-06, TPC of Scottsdale performed pesticide applications (weed control) without the benefit of a valid business license (business license #4302 expired 12-31-04). And, the golf course has not had a qualifying party since 6-25-03. The treatment records on file indicate the applications were performed by licensed applicator Shane Keranen and unlicensed applicator David Doyle. Since the filing of this complaint, Dickson Agronomics, a licensed business with a valid QP license, is providing the pest management services for TPC.

**Staff recommends that this complaint be dismissed against Shane Keranen and David Doyle as it is normally not an applicator’s responsibility to verify the license validity of the business and QP.**

**The following proposed resolution was reached:**

1. That **TPC of Scottsdale, BL #4302, be issued an Administrative Warning and pay a \$500.00 Civil Penalty (due within 30 days of the entered date of the Commission Order) pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2321(A) (Disciplinary action) for a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2325(B)(1) (Violating laws/rules), via A.R.S. § 32-2325(B)(1)(2) (Performing pest control services without a business license or qualifying party license) and A.A.C. R4-29-107(A) (Failed to respond to the complaint in writing)**

**Commission's Options:**

1. **Accept** the proposed resolution;
2. Return the matter to settlement conference to **Modify** the proposed resolution based on stated factors and rationale; or
3. **Reject** the proposed resolution and send the matter to hearing.